Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,956	MASON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TAE KIM	2887
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>TAE KIM</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel D. Wasil</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3/24/10	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Amendment was authorized to overcome prior art rejection.	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	he examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/DANIEL WALSH/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)